

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,782	02/09/2004	Edmund T. Kochling	81703A	8851	
7590 07/28/2005		EXAMINER			
KRIEGSMAN & KRIEGSMAN			SAFAVI, MICHAEL		
665 Franklin Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Framingham, N	MA 01702		<u> </u>	FAFER NUMBER	
			3673		
•			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/774,	782	KOCHLING, EDMUND T.			
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit			
		M. Safa	vi	3673			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	cation appears on t	he cover sheet with th	ne correspondence a	ddress		
THE N - Exten after 3 - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commerce of the period for reply specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of the control of	event, however, may a reply b tatutory minimum of thirty (30) will expire SIX (6) MONTHS (pplication to become ABANDO	to e timely filed I days will be considered time I from the mailing date of this ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>05 May 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2	2b)☐ This action is	non-final.		•		
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2,6-24,28-30 and 32-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10,20-24,28-30 and 32-40 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 8 and 12-15 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,6,7,9,11 and 17-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
Application	on Papers						
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10) 🔲 🛚	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to			-	, ,		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of Certified copies of the priority of Cepies of the certified copies of application from the Internation the attached detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be of the priority docun nal Bureau (PCT Re	een received. een received in Applic nents have been rece ule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)						
1) 🔯 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	il Date al Patent Application (PT	O-152)		

He

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 11, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fennessy, Sr. '690 in view of either of Ivey '047 or Loov '802 and further in view of either of Lowe '790 or Schmanski '743.

Fennessy, Sr. discloses, Fig. 5, a curbside access ramp shaped to include a formed curb portion, (including groove, indent, slot, recess, etc. to delineate the curb portion 22 from the ramp portion 24). A sloped embankment is included with a middle portion of the curb set flush with the roadway. The access ramp assembly is of a configuration having a central ramp region 24 and a pair of side ramp regions sloping seamlessly from the central ramp region with the central ramp region sloping from an integrally formed curb portion 22 which curb portion includes a central region and side regions disposed on opposite ends of the central region while sloping gradually upwardly from the curb central region. Colored, textured identifying markers are at 26, including along opposite ends of a middle portion of the curb, identifying the location of the middle portion of the curb.

Each of Ivey and Loov teaches formation of a curbside module possessing a bottom having a recessed area while each of Lowe and Schmanski teach application of

a groove, indent, slot, recess, etc. so as to delineate the central ramp region of the respective curbside access ramp from the curb portion as is well known in the paving industry, (i.e., sidewalk sections are delineated or separated from the curb section via a groove, indent, slot, recess, etc.). To have formed the Fennessy, Sr. access ramp section 22/24 with a lower recessed area, thus serving to produce a section of pavement with as little material as practical, as well as provide the Fennessy, Sr. access ramp with any of a groove, indent, slot, recess, etc., so as to delineate the central ramp region of the respective curbside access ramp from the curb portion as is well known in the paving industry, would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as taught by either of Ivey and Loov while further in view of either of Lowe and Schmanski. Loov particularly teaches formation of bottom slots capable of receiving straps as can be seen in Figs. 2-5, (slots extending between the support portions 18, 21, 22, 24, 25, etc.). Therefore, formation of such slots within Fennessy, Sr. while forming the lower recessed area, would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as taught by Loov.

As for claim 7, forming the resulting Fennessy, Sr. ramp 22/24 with the central ramp region sloping from the curb portion 22 to the rear having a maximum slope of no greater than 1:12 and with the side ramp regions, (either sloping side of 24), sloping from the central ramp region to the respective rear left side and rear right side having a maximum slope of no greater than 1:10, thus allowing for a smooth transition between

road surface and sidewalk, would have constituted a further obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Page 4

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fennessy, Sr. in view of either of Ivey or Loov and further in view of either of Lowe or Schmanski as applied to claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 17, 18, and 25-27 above, and further in view of Daigle or Ivey.

Each of Daigle and Ivey teach application of steel reinforcement within a curbing/pavement structure with Ivey teaching steel reinforcement at 14 and Daigle teaching steel reinforcement at col. 1, lines 22-24. To have provided the modified Fennessy, Sr. pavement structure 22/24 including ramp 22 with steel reinforcing means, thus producing a substantially reinforced arrangement, would have constituted an obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art as taught by either of Daigle and Ivey.

Claims 16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fennessy, Sr. in view of either of Ivey or Loov and further in view of either of Lowe or Schmanski as applied to claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 17, 18, and 25-27 above, and further in view of Barrett.

Barrett discloses utilization of identifying markers 40 along any extent of a curb construction. To have provided the modified Fennessy, Sr. pavement structure 2 or 2/5/6 with identifying markers along any extent of the curb portion 3 including at either

end of a central region f the curb portion, thus providing indicating means for any of various purposes such as utility locator, address locator, access area locator, etc., would have constituted an obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art as taught by Barrett.

Claims 8 and 12-15 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 05, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Though Fennessy, Sr. may teach in situ formation of the access ramp one of ordinary skill in the art with the teachings of either of Ivey or Loov would have found it obvious to form the Fennessy, Sr. access ramp section 22/24 with a lower recessed area for the purpose of reducing the amount of material necessary to produce any given section of pavement. And, one of ordinary skill in the art with the teachings of either of Lowe or Schmanski would have found it obvious to form the Fennessy, Sr. access ramp section 22/24 with any of a groove, indent, slot, recess, etc., so as to delineate the central ramp region of the respective curbside access ramp from the curb portion as is well known in the paving industry. One of ordinary skill in the art viewing Fig. 1 of Lowe and Fig. 6 of Schmanski would realize that the curbing portion of a sidewalk/curb arrangement is delineated as by groove or spacing. As such, with Fennessy, Sr. teaching an integral "curb ramp", with curbing portion 22 and ramp portion 24, one of ordinary skill in the art with the teachings of either of Lowe or

Schmanski would have found it obvious to form the Fennessy, Sr. access ramp section 22/24 with any of a groove, indent, slot, recess, etc., so as to delineate the central ramp region of the respective curbside access ramp from the curb portion as is well known in the paving industry.

Claims 8 and 12-15 are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Safavi whose telephone number is (571) 272-7046. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (571) 272-7049. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL SAFAVI PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 354